UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/801,495	03/08/2001	Christopher Keith	125466	4755	
	7590 05/28/201 [.] N O'CONNOR JOHNS	EXAMINER			
1420 FIFTH AV SUITE 2800	VENUE	WEISBERGER, RICHARD C			
SEATTLE, WA	98101-2347		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3693		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			05/28/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

efiling@cojk.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/801,495	KEITH, CHRISTOPHER		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Richard C. Weisberger	3693		

	Richard C. Weisberger	3693						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 18 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
 a) The period for reply expires <u>three</u> months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(fine may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	hortened statutory period for reply origi	nally set in the final Office	e action; or (2) as					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor 			cause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	i L below),						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
	/Richard C Weisberge	r/						
	Primary Examiner, Art U							

The applicant's arguments have been considered but are not deemed pursuasive as they fail to consider the broadest reasonable interpretationj of the claims and the Ilimiitation therein. While the previous nonfinal Office Action rejected Claims 10-14, 18-20, 31-36, 38-46, and 48-51 as being unpatentable over

Korhammer in view of non-patent literature titled "MiFID Best Execution Benchmark" (hereinafter "MiFID"), the FINAL office action conceeded that the secondary referece MiFID does not qualify as prior art under any provision of 35 U.S.C. 102 but nevertheless maintained the rejection over Korhammer over caims 10, 11, 32, 33, 42, 43, and 52 as such the FINALITY was proper. (claim 25 was inadvertantly was omitted from the rejected claims but is included in the meriits of the rejection and thus the rejected claims should read 1,11,32,33,42,43 and 52.